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# Introduction

This paper examines how income inequality is affected by demographic factors and demographic change. A lot of inequality-research is done on processes affecting unequal wages (economic factors) and redistribution (institutional factors), but there is little work on the effect of demographic change on income distribution, although this field is increasingly gaining attention.

Indeed the population of Europe is in change. The demography report 2010 published by EUROSTAT (2011) notes that Europe is becoming older, more numerous and more diverse. In Switzerland the picture is similar. Over the last 30 years population grew by 1.8 Million (STATPOP)[[1]](#footnote-1) and a central part of growth is due to migration. On average the annual net migration since 1980 was ~28’000 (PETRA/STATPOP).[[2]](#footnote-2) At the same time there is a trend of people to live alone. The share of people living in one-person households tripled from 1980 (12%) to 2012: (36% (VZ)).[[3]](#footnote-3) Furthermore like many western societies swiss population is ageing. Indeed the share of people over 65 rose from 14% (1980) only to 17% (2012) (ESPOP/STATPOP) but estimations predict this share to be 24% in 2030 (SCENARIO).[[4]](#footnote-4) All these developments can be theoretically related to income inequality.

In particular the increase of one-person households seems to increase inequality (Daly and Valleta 2006, Peichl et al. 2011, Grabka and Kuhn 2012). Because people marry later/less and divorce more often people have to rely on their own earnings and don’t profit from within household redistribution. Moreover, Fritschi and Bannwart (2013) show, that differences between households with and without children are rising, which might be a sign of new poverty risks. Their analyses reveal the importance of subgroup inequality analyses.

Furthermore, the ageing of society is expected to lead to greater inequality. Already Mincer (1958) linked age to wages by showing, that the quality of performance on the job (and hence wages) is a function of formal training and experience (which is naturally proxied be age). Schellenbaur (2013) therefore argues, that in a (hypothetic) world where wages depend only on age and are otherwise completely equal within an age-group, annual cross-section results lead to substantial inequality due to age differences within society. Von Weizsäcker (1996) goes a step further and argues that the ageing of a population might be associated with increasing inequality by many potential channels. Kaufmann (2005), in turn, warns of rising differences between age-groups. Ageing of the population might lead to a conflict between generations when financial feasibility of social security is being tested.

Also migration can affect the distribution of income while no general mechanisms are identified (Morris and Western 1999). We assume that it matters especially which segments and qualification Levels are affected. Immigration in low wage sectors can put additional pressure on low wages and therefore increase inequality. Also immigration of top earners can lead to more inequality. How immigration of general working population affects inequality is not easy to say.

When linking demography to inequality two inequality-relevant processes must be separated. (1) Demographic change can affect the overall income distribution. (2) Demographic change can lead to segregation, which fosters the need of between group analyses.

While we think that all three areas (age structure, household, migration) are worth of more investigations, we focus in this paper on the change of age und household structure. Our central research questions are: Is overall inequality affected by demographic change? Do between group differences change over time, when looking at age groups and household types.

# Data and Method

We use cantonal tax data from Basel-City. These include all residents of Basel-City of age 18 and older. As an income measure we look at the net income (Reineinkommen) which equals the total income after non-social deductions.

By looking at inequality (Theil Index) within and between subpopulations for two different years (1991 and 2011) we highlight and evaluate the different mechanisms how these demographic changes can affect inequality.

By estimating a counterfactual distribution using inverse probability weighting we furthermore try to quantify how much of the inequality rise can be explained by ageing and changes in household composition.

## 

# Results

Inequality in Basel-City rose from 1991 (Gini: 0.43, Theil: 0.41) to 2011 (Gini: 0.49, Theil: 0.56). 19% of this increase can be explained by ageing and changes in household composition. The mechanisms however vary. Ageing contributes to inequality due to (1) rising incomes of retired people and (2) diverging incomes within the workforce. Household structure contributes due to an increased between group inequality as (1) incomes for married households grew faster than for single households (2) the share of single households grew from about 56% to about 65%.

# Summary and Discussion

Ausblick: für single/married composition ist das maximum bei gut 60%, also bereits erreicht. Kann in Zukunft also nur noch sinken. Steigen nur möglich wenn sich die mean incomes weiter auseinander bewegen. (evtl mean statt median in die grafiken?).

Furthermore it needs to be clarified whether the „single“ tax units are actually single households. Two „poor singles“ might go without a marriage but live together. In future analyses with tax data from Bern we will investigate this issue by utilizing household-IDs.
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